

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/347,525	07/06/1999	MASAHARU OKU	103778	3980
25944	7590 11/01/2002			
	RRIDGE, PLC		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 199 ALEXANDRI	28 A, VA 22320		KNABLE, GEOFFREY L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1733	10
			DATE MAILED: 11/01/2002	17

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			MK-G
7 *	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/347,525	OKU ET AL.	
navicory neutrin	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Geoffrey L. Knable	1733	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	p ars on th cov r sheet with th	n correspond nc addi	ress
THE REPLY FILED 29 October 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Applexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	o avoid abandonment of this ap : (1) a timely filed amendment v peal (with appeal fee); or (3) a t	oplication. A proper rep which places the applic	oly to a cation in
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	r than SIX MONTHS from the mailing da AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF date on which the petition under 37 CFF tension and the corresponding amount o ned statutory period for reply originally se	ate of the final rejection. THE FINAL REJECTION. S R 1.136(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The appropriate extent in the final Office action; or (e extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>05 September 20</u> 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR)			et forth in
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	I because:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require fur	rther consideration and/or searc	ch (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);		
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the applicatio issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by r	naterially reducing or s	implifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cand NOTE:	celing a corresponding number	of finally rejected clain	ns.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej	ection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	uld be allowable if submitted in	a separate, timely filed	d amendment
 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ r place the application in condition for allo 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered to raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	owance because: See Continuat	ion Sheet.	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	vs:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6,8 and 13-15</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 7 and 9-	<u>-12</u> .		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a)□ approved or b)□ dis	sapproved by the Exam	niner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Staten	nent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(:	s)	
10. Other:		IN a	
		Geoffrey L. Knable Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1733	

Continuation Sh et (PTO-303) 09/347,525

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: principally for the reasons of record in the last office action. Additionally, it is stressed that (1) Deist clearly and unambiguously discloses using extruders with controlled feed to form the strip (col. 7); and (2) any argument that the fig. 2 embodiment does not have or desire a gradual transition or that the feeds are only stopped and then started is contradicted by clear and unambiguous teachings to the contrary - note for example col. 2, lines 41-46, it being noted as well that the main objective of the reference is to achieve a gradual transition - note col. 1, lines 11-23; col. 2, lines 24-33 as well as the preamble of almost all the clams.